# Global One-Dimensionality conjecture within Quantum General Relativity

#### Łukasz Andrzej Glinka\*

E-mail: laglinka@gmail.com

International Institute for Applicable
Mathematics & Information Sciences,
Hyderabad (India) & Udine (Italy),

B.M. Birla Science Centre, Adarsh Nagar, 500 063 Hyderabad, India

June 17, 2009

#### Abstract

The simple quantum gravity model, based on a new conjecture within the canonically quantized 3+1 general relativity, is presented. The conjecture states that matter fields are functionals of an embedding volume form only, and reduces the quantum geometrodynamics. By dimensional reduction the resulting theory is presented in the form of the Dirac equation, and application of the Fock quantization with the diagonalization procedure yields construction of the appropriate quantum field theory. The 1D wave function is derived, the corresponding 3-dimensional manifolds are discussed, and physical scales are associated with quantum correlations.

**Keywords** general relativity; 3+1 splitting; quantum gravity models; low dimensional quantum field theories; quantization methods; global one-dimensionality

**PACS** 04.60.-m; 05.30.Jp; 05.70.Ce; 11.10.Kk; 98.80.Qc

<sup>\*</sup>Previously at Bogoliubov Laboratory of Theoretical Physics of Joint Institute for Nuclear Research in Dubna, Russian Federation

#### 1 Introduction

Quantum gravity is one of the fundamental problems of modern theoretical physics. In spite of the significant efforts and various approaches, we are still very far of understanding the role of quantized gravitational fields in physical phenomena at high energies (for different approaches to quantum gravity see e.g. Ref. [1]). In this paper we propose a simple model of quantum gravity which can be useful for clarifying its some important aspects.

The celebrated field-theoretic formalism yields plausible phenomenology for numerous experimental data of all areas of physics. In this paper this point of view is used for construction of a simple quantum gravity model. The 3+1 splitting of a general relativistic metric tensor and the canonical quantization of the appropriate action functional are used in the well-grounded way. In straightforward and strict analogy with the generic cosmological model [2], the new conjecture within the Wheeler–DeWitt quantum geometrodynamics is proposed. The model is based on the ansatz composed by the steps

- 1. global one-dimensionality conjecture, i.e. one-dimensional matter fields,
- 2. reduced quantum geometrodynamics, yielding one-dimensional theory,
- 3. dimensional reduction, resulting in the Dirac equation formulation,

and expressing the supposition that the quantum geometrodynamics in itself is a one-dimensional field theory. The dimensional reduction leads to the appropriate Dirac equation and the Euclidean Clifford algebra. Its the Fock quantization with the diagonalization procedure, consisting of the Bogoliubov transformation and the Heisenberg equations of motion, yields correctly defined quantum field theory. The resulting model describes quantum gravity in terms of a quantum field theory formulated in the Fock static operator reper associated with initial data. The 1D wave function is derived, the corresponding 3-dimensional manifolds are discussed, and quantum correlations are associated with physical scales. Mathematically, we employ the one-dimensional functional integrals, so that the proposing quantum gravity model is methodologically corresponding to the trend initiated by Hartle and Hawking in the paper [3].

An organization of the paper is as follows. In the preliminary section 2 historically first quantized 3+1 general relativity is presented. Section 3 is devoted to the ansatz presentation. Next, the sections 4 and 5 discuss field quantization and some implications of general formulation, respectively. Finally, in the section 6 the entire paper's results are summarized in condensed way.

# 2 Quantum 3+1 General Relativity

In general relativity (See e.g. [4]) a pseudo-Riemannian manifold (M, g) with a metric tensor  $g_{\mu\nu}$ , the Christoffel symbols  $\Gamma^{\rho}_{\mu\nu}$ , the Riemann curvature  $R^{\lambda}_{\mu\alpha\nu}$ , the Einstein curvature  $G_{\mu\nu} = R_{\mu\nu} - \frac{1}{2}g_{\mu\nu}^{(4)}R$ , where  $R_{\mu\nu} = R^{\lambda}_{\mu\lambda\nu}$ ,  $^{(4)}R = R^{\kappa}_{\kappa}$ , satisfying the Einstein field equations<sup>1</sup>

$$G_{\mu\nu} + \Lambda g_{\mu\nu} = 3T_{\mu\nu},\tag{1}$$

where  $\Lambda$  is cosmological constant and  $T_{\mu\nu}$  is stress-energy tensor, models a spacetime<sup>2</sup>. For a compact M with a boundary  $(\partial M, h)$  and curvature  $K_{ij}$ , the usual variational principle is corrected [5] and (1) arise by the action

$$S[g] = \int_{M} d^{4}x \sqrt{-g} \left\{ -\frac{1}{6}R + \frac{\Lambda}{3} \right\} + S_{\psi}[g] - \frac{1}{3} \int_{\partial M} d^{3}x \sqrt{h}K, \qquad (2)$$

where  $K = h^{ij}K_{ij}$ ,  $S_{\psi}[g]$  is Matter fields action,  $T_{\mu\nu} = -\frac{2}{\sqrt{-g}}\frac{\delta S_{\psi}[g]}{\delta g^{\mu\nu}}$ . For  $\Lambda = 0$ , a global timelike Killing field on M  $\mathcal{K}$  exists, the foliation t = const is spacelike,  $\partial M$  is the Nash embedding [6], and 3 + 1 splitting [7] holds

$$g_{\mu\nu} = \begin{bmatrix} -N^2 + N_i N^i & N_j \\ N_i & h_{ij} \end{bmatrix} , \quad h_{ik} h^{kj} = \delta_i^j , \quad N^j = h^{ij} N_i$$
 (3)

For  $\Lambda > 0$ ,  $\mathcal{K}$  does not exist, spacelike  $\partial M$  only foliates an exterior to the horizons on geodesic lines, (3) is a gauge. In both cases (2) takes the form

$$S[g] = \int dt \int_{\partial M} d^3x \left\{ \pi \dot{N} + \pi^i \dot{N}_i + \pi_\psi \dot{\psi} + \pi^{ij} \dot{h}_{ij} - NH - N_i H^i \right\},$$
 (4)

where dot means t-differentiation, H and  $H^i$  are defined as

$$H = \sqrt{h} \left\{ K^2 - K_{ij} K^{ij} + {}^{(3)}R - 2\Lambda - 6\varrho \right\}, \qquad H^i = -2\pi^{ij}_{;i}, \qquad (5)$$

with  $^{(3)}R = h^{ij}R_{ij}$ ,  $\varrho = n^{\mu}n^{\nu}T_{\mu\nu}$ ,  $n^{\mu} = [1/N, -N^{i}/N]$ , and particularly

$$\pi^{ij} = -\sqrt{h} \left( K^{ij} - h^{ij} K \right). \tag{6}$$

The curvature  $K_{ij}$  satisfies the Gauss-Codazzi equations [8]

$$2NK_{ij} = N_{i|j} + N_{j|i} - \dot{h}_{ij}. (7)$$

We use the units  $c = \hbar = 8\pi G/3 = 1$  in this text.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup>In (1) the coefficient of  $T_{\mu\nu}$  usually equals  $8\pi G/c^4$  that is exactly 3 in the our units.

where stroke means intrinsic covariant differentiation. Time-preservation [9] of the primary constraints [10] leads to the secondary ones – (scalar) Hamiltonian constraint yielding dynamics, and (vector) diffeomorphism one merely reflecting spatial diffeoinvariance

$$\pi \approx 0 \quad , \quad \pi^i \approx 0 \quad \longrightarrow \quad H \approx 0 \quad , \quad H^i \approx 0.$$
 (8)

DeWitt [10] showed that  $H^i$  generate the diffeomorphisms  $\tilde{x}^i = x^i + \xi^i$ 

$$i \left[ h_{ij}, \int_{\partial M} H_a \xi^a d^3 x \right] = -h_{ij,k} \xi^k - h_{kj} \xi^k_{,i} - h_{ik} \xi^k_{,j} ,$$
 (9)

$$i\left[\pi_{ij}, \int_{\partial M} H_a \xi^a d^3x\right] = -\left(\pi_{ij} \xi^k\right)_{,k} + \pi_{kj} \xi^i_{,k} + \pi_{ik} \xi^j_{,k} ,$$
 (10)

and consequently the first-class constraints algebra can be derived

$$i[H_i(x), H_j(y)] = \int_{\partial M} H_a c_{ij}^a d^3 z, \qquad (11)$$

$$i[H(x), H_i(y)] = H\delta_{,i}^{(3)}(x, y),$$
 (12)

$$i\left[\int_{\partial M} H\xi_1 d^3x, \int_{\partial M} H\xi_2 d^3x\right] = \int_{\partial M} H^a \left(\xi_{1,a}\xi_2 - \xi_1\xi_{2,a}\right) d^3x.$$
 (13)

Here  $H_i = h_{ij}H^j$ , and  $c_{ij}^a = \delta_i^a \delta_j^b \delta_{,b}^{(3)}(x,z)\delta^{(3)}(y,z) - (x \to y)$  are structure constants of diffeomorphism group. The scalar constraint reduced by (6) with using of the canonical primary quantization [9, 11]

$$i\left[\pi^{ij}(x), h_{kl}(y)\right] = \frac{1}{2} \left(\delta_k^i \delta_l^j + \delta_l^i \delta_k^j\right) \delta^{(3)}(x, y),$$
 (14)

$$i[\pi^{i}(x), N_{j}(y)] = \delta^{i}_{j}\delta^{(3)}(x, y) \quad , \quad i[\pi(x), N(y)] = \delta^{(3)}(x, y),$$
 (15)

yields the Wheeler–DeWitt equation [12, 10]

$$\left\{ G_{ijkl} \frac{\delta^2}{\delta h_{ij} \delta h_{kl}} + h^{1/2} \left( {}^{(3)}R - 2\Lambda - 6\varrho \right) \right\} \Psi[h_{ij}, \phi] = 0,$$
(16)

where  $G_{ijkl}$  is the Wheeler metric on superspace  $S(\partial M)$  [12, 13]

$$G_{ijkl} = \frac{1}{2\sqrt{h}} \left( h_{ik} h_{jl} + h_{il} h_{jk} - h_{ij} h_{kl} \right), \tag{17}$$

and first class constraints are conditions on  $\Psi[h_{ij}, \phi]$ 

$$\pi \Psi[h_{ij}, \phi] = 0$$
 ,  $\pi^i \Psi[h_{ij}, \phi] = 0$  ,  $H^i \Psi[h_{ij}, \phi] = 0$ . (18)

In fact quantum general relativity, given by the Wheeler–DeWitt equation, historically is one of the first attempts of quantum gravity theory construction. Actually, however, quantum geometrodynamics has became the motivation for development of the quantum gravity idea and building novel formulations. We are going to build the our model basing on the Wheeler–DeWitt theory (16). Strictly speaking, however, the proposing toy model will possess a reductionist character.

#### 3 The Ansatz

For construction of a simple quantum gravity model let us apply the following three step ansatz.

Global one-dimensionality conjecture. Suppose that Matter fields are one-variable functionals  $\phi = \phi[h]$  where h is a volume form of  $\partial M$ 

$$h \equiv \det h_{ij} = \frac{1}{3} \epsilon^{ijk} \epsilon^{lmn} h_{il} h_{jm} h_{kn}, \tag{19}$$

and  $\epsilon$  is the Levi-Civita symbol. Also we assume, as an element of the model, that gravitational field is described only variable h. In result a wave function  $\Psi[h_{ij}, \phi]$  becomes

$$\Psi[h_{ij}, \phi] \to \Psi[h], \tag{20}$$

so that, the proposed quantum gravity model is

$$\left\{ -G_{ijkl} \frac{\delta^2}{\delta h_{ij} \delta h_{kl}} - h^{1/2} \left( {}^{(3)}R - 2\Lambda - 6\varrho[h] \right) \right\} \Psi[h] = 0. \tag{21}$$

In analogy to the generic cosmology [2], (20) describes isotropic spacetimes<sup>3</sup>.

Reduced quantum geometrodynamics. Using 3 + 1 splitting (3) within the Jacobi formula [4]

$$\delta g = gg^{\mu\nu}\delta g_{\mu\nu},\tag{22}$$

establishes the Jacobian matrix for for the reduction of variables  $h_{ij}$  to h

$$N^{2}\delta h = N^{2}hh^{ij}\delta h_{ij} \longrightarrow \mathcal{J}(h_{ij}, h) = \frac{\delta(h)}{\delta(h_{ij})} = \frac{\delta h}{\delta h_{ij}} \equiv hh^{ij}.$$
 (23)

Because of the approximation (20) the variational derivative  $\delta/\delta h_{ij}$  acts on functional depending only on h. It allows us to express the derivative with

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup>Assumption (20) means that we consider a strata of full superspace, *i.e.* the DeWitt minisuperspace model where the wave function depends only one variable h.

respect  $h_{ij}$  through the derivative with respect h. Therefore

$$\frac{\delta\Psi[h]}{\delta h_{ij}} = hh^{ij}\frac{\delta\Psi[h]}{\delta h}.$$
 (24)

Consequently, application of (24) within the differential operator in (21) gives

$$G_{ijkl}\frac{\delta^2}{\delta h_{ij}\delta h_{kl}} = G_{ijkl}h^{ij}h^{kl}h^2\frac{\delta^2}{\delta h^2}.$$
 (25)

So that the reduction is given by the double contraction

$$G_{ijkl}h^{ij}h^{kl} = \frac{1}{2\sqrt{h}} \left( h_{ik}h_{jl} + h_{il}h_{jk} - h_{ij}h_{kl} \right) h^{ij}h^{kl} = -\frac{3}{2}h^{-1/2}, \tag{26}$$

where we have used the relations for 3-dimensional embedding  $h^{ab}h_{bc} = h_c^a$ ,  $h_a^a = \text{Tr}h_{ab} = 3$ . Jointing (25) and (26) one obtains finally the relation<sup>4</sup>

$$G_{ijkl}\frac{\delta^2}{\delta h_{ij}\delta h_{kl}} = -\frac{3}{2}h^{3/2}\frac{\delta^2}{\delta h^2},\tag{27}$$

which leads to the reduced theory

$$\left\{ \frac{3}{2}h^{3/2}\frac{\delta^2}{\delta h^2} + h^{1/2}\left(^{(3)}R - 2\Lambda - 6\varrho[h]\right) \right\} \Psi[h] = 0.$$
(28)

**Dimensional reduction.** The model (28) can be rewritten as

$$\left(\frac{\delta^2}{\delta h^2} - m^2\right)\Psi = 0,\tag{29}$$

where  $m^2$  is a squared (variable) mass of  $\Psi$ 

$$m^{2} = \frac{2}{3h} \left( {}^{(3)}R - 2\Lambda - 6\varrho \right) = \frac{2}{3h} (K_{ij}K^{ij} - K^{2}), \tag{30}$$

and scalar constraint was used. Eq. (29) arises by stationarity of the action<sup>5</sup>

$$S[\Psi] = \int \delta h L\left(\Psi, \frac{\delta \Psi}{\delta h}\right) \quad , \quad L = \frac{1}{2} \left(\Pi_{\Psi}^2 + m^2 \Psi^2\right) \quad , \quad \Pi_{\Psi} = \frac{\delta \Psi}{\delta h}. \quad (31)$$

 $<sup>^4</sup>$ Because the relation (23) arises due to 3+1 approximation, so (26) is an approximation within the ansatz.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>5</sup>Here  $S[\Psi]$  is a field-theoretic action functional in Ψ so that any dependence on h of the mass m does not play a role for equations of motion  $\delta S/\delta \Psi = 0$ .

By using  $\Pi_{\Psi}$  one rewrites the equation (29) as

$$\frac{\delta\Pi_{\Psi}}{\delta h} - m^2 \Psi = 0, \tag{32}$$

which together with  $\Pi_{\Psi}$  in (31) yields the appropriate Dirac equation

$$\left(i\gamma\frac{\delta}{\delta h}-M\right)\Phi=0$$
 ,  $\Phi=\left[\begin{array}{c}\Pi_{\Psi}\\\Psi\end{array}\right]$  ,  $M=\left[\begin{array}{cc}-1&0\\0&m^2\end{array}\right]$ . (33)

The  $\gamma$  matrices algebra consists only one element - the Pauli matrix  $\sigma_{\nu}$ 

$$\gamma = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & -i \\ i & 0 \end{bmatrix} , \quad \gamma^2 = I , \quad \{\gamma, \gamma\} = 2\delta_E , \quad \delta_E = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix}.$$
 (34)

The matrix algebra (34) forms the Euclidean Clifford algebra [14]  $\mathcal{C}\ell_{1,1}(\mathbb{R})$  which has a 2D complex representation. Restricting to  $Pin_{1,1}(\mathbb{R})$  yield a complex representation of 2D Pin group (2D spin representation); restricting to  $Spin_{1,1}(\mathbb{R})$  splits it onto a sum of two half spin 1D representations (1D Weyl representation). The algebra decomposes into a direct sum of central simple algebras isomorphic to matrix algebra over  $\mathbb{R}$ 

$$\mathcal{C}\ell_{1,1}(\mathbb{R}) = \mathcal{C}\ell_{1,1}^+(\mathbb{R}) \oplus \mathcal{C}\ell_{1,1}^-(\mathbb{R}) \quad , \quad \mathcal{C}\ell_{1,1}(\mathbb{R}) \cong \mathbb{R}(2) \quad , \quad \mathcal{C}\ell_{1,1}^{\pm}(\mathbb{R}) \cong \mathbb{R},$$
 (35)

and moreover has a decomposition into a tensor product

$$\mathcal{C}\ell_{1,1}(\mathbb{R}) = \mathcal{C}\ell_{2,0}(\mathbb{R}) \otimes \mathcal{C}\ell_{0,0}(\mathbb{R}) \quad , \quad \mathcal{C}\ell_{0,0}(\mathbb{R}) \cong \mathbb{R}.$$
 (36)

#### 4 Quantization

The Dirac equation (33) can be canonically quantized (See e.g. [15])

$$i[\Pi_{\Psi}[h'], \Psi[h]] = \delta(h' - h), i[\Pi_{\Psi}[h'], \Pi_{\Psi}[h]] = 0, i[\Psi[h'], \Psi[h]] = 0.$$
 (37)

Using of the Fock space allows to derive the solution in the form

$$\mathbf{\Phi} = \mathbb{Q}\mathfrak{B} \quad , \quad \mathbb{Q} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \begin{bmatrix} \sqrt{1/|m|} & \sqrt{1/|m|} \\ -i\sqrt{|m|} & i\sqrt{|m|} \end{bmatrix}, \tag{38}$$

where  $\mathfrak{B} = \mathfrak{B}[h]$  is a dynamical reper

$$\mathfrak{B} = \left\{ \begin{bmatrix} \mathsf{G}[h] \\ \mathsf{G}^{\dagger}[h] \end{bmatrix} : \left[ \mathsf{G}[h'], \mathsf{G}^{\dagger}[h] \right] = \delta \left( h' - h \right), \left[ \mathsf{G}[h'], \mathsf{G}[h] \right] = 0 \right\}, \tag{39}$$

and yields non Heisenberg-like dynamics of (39)

$$\frac{\delta \mathfrak{B}}{\delta h} = \mathbb{X}\mathfrak{B} \quad , \quad \mathbb{X} = \begin{bmatrix} -im & \frac{1}{2m} \frac{\delta m}{\delta h} \\ \frac{1}{2m} \frac{\delta m}{\delta h} & im \end{bmatrix} . \tag{40}$$

Supposing that there is an other reper  $\mathfrak{F}$  determined by the Bogoliubov transformation and the Heisenberg equations of motion

$$\mathfrak{F} = \begin{bmatrix} u & v \\ v^* & u^* \end{bmatrix} \mathfrak{B}, \qquad |u|^2 - |v|^2 = 1, \tag{41}$$

$$\frac{\delta \mathfrak{F}}{\delta h} = \begin{bmatrix} -i\Omega & 0\\ 0 & i\Omega \end{bmatrix} \mathfrak{F}, \tag{42}$$

where  $u, v, \Omega$  are functionals of h, one obtains

$$\frac{\delta \mathbf{b}}{\delta h} = \mathbb{X}\mathbf{b} \quad , \quad \mathbf{b} = \begin{bmatrix} u \\ v \end{bmatrix}, \tag{43}$$

and  $\Omega \equiv 0$ , so that  $\mathfrak{F}$  is the Fock static reper with respect to initial data (I)

$$\mathfrak{F} = \left\{ \begin{bmatrix} \mathsf{G}_I \\ \mathsf{G}_I^{\dagger} \end{bmatrix} : \left[ \mathsf{G}_I, \mathsf{G}_I^{\dagger} \right] = 1, \left[ \mathsf{G}_I, \mathsf{G}_I \right] = 0 \right\}, \tag{44}$$

and vacuum state |VAC| is correctly defined

$$\mathsf{G}_I | \mathrm{VAC} \rangle = 0 \quad , \quad 0 = \langle \mathrm{VAC} | \, \mathsf{G}_I^{\dagger}.$$
 (45)

Integrability of Eqs. (43) is crucial. The transformation (41) suggests employing the superfluid parametrization which yield<sup>6</sup>

$$u = \frac{1+\lambda}{2\sqrt{\lambda}} \exp\left\{im_I \int_{h_I}^h \frac{\delta h'}{\lambda'}\right\} \quad , \quad v = \frac{1-\lambda}{2\sqrt{\lambda}} \exp\left\{-im_I \int_{h_I}^h \frac{\delta h'}{\lambda'}\right\}, \quad (46)$$

where  $\lambda \equiv \lambda[h]$ ,  $\lambda' = \lambda[h']$  is a length scale *i.e.* inverted mass scale  $\mu = m/m_I = 1/\lambda$ . Consequently the integrability problem is solved by

$$\mathbf{\Phi} = \mathbb{QGF},\tag{47}$$

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>6</sup>In (46) the functional measure  $\delta h$  for the case of a fixed space configuration transits into the Riemann–Lebesgue measure dh. However, h in general is a smooth function of space parameters,  $\delta h$  is a total variation and has a sense of the Stieltjes measure.

where G is the monodromy matrix

$$\mathbb{G} = \begin{bmatrix}
\frac{\lambda + 1}{2\sqrt{\lambda}} \exp\left\{-im_I \int_{h_I}^h \frac{\delta h'}{\lambda'}\right\} & \frac{\lambda - 1}{2\sqrt{\lambda}} \exp\left\{im_I \int_{h_I}^h \frac{\delta h'}{\lambda'}\right\} \\
\frac{\lambda - 1}{2\sqrt{\lambda}} \exp\left\{-im_I \int_{h_I}^h \frac{\delta h'}{\lambda'}\right\} & \frac{\lambda + 1}{2\sqrt{\lambda}} \exp\left\{im_I \int_{h_I}^h \frac{\delta h'}{\lambda'}\right\}
\end{bmatrix}.$$
(48)

One sees now that the presented model expresses quantum gravity as a quantum field theory, where the quantum field associated with a space configuration is given by the relation (47). In this manner one can write out some straightforward conclusions following form the simple model.

# 5 Some implications of general formulation

The proposed field-theoretic model was solved. However, still we do not know what it the role of the 1D wave function given by the equation (21). The same problem is to define any geometric quantities related to this model. The quantum field theory (47) has also unclear significance. Let us present now some conclusions arising from the previous section's model, which will clarify these questions in some detail.

Global 1D wave function. The Dirac equation (33) can be rewritten in the form of Schrödinger-like evolution equation

$$\frac{\delta\Phi}{\delta h} = H\Phi \quad , \quad H = -\begin{bmatrix} 0 & \frac{m_I^2}{\lambda^2} \\ 1 & 0 \end{bmatrix}, \tag{49}$$

yielding unitary evolution operator  $U = U(h, h_I) = \exp \int_{h_I}^h H \delta h$  given by

$$U = \begin{bmatrix} \cosh f[h, h_I] & \left(-m_I^2 \int_{h_I}^h \frac{\delta h'}{\lambda'^2}\right) \frac{\sinh f[h, h_I]}{f[h, h_I]} \\ (h_I - h) \frac{\sinh f[h, h_I]}{f[h, h_I]} & \cosh f[h, h_I] \end{bmatrix}, \quad (50)$$

where  $f[h, h_I] = |m_I| \sqrt{(h - h_I) \int_{h_I}^h \frac{\delta h'}{\lambda'^2}}$ , so that Eq. (49) is solved by

$$\Phi[h] = U(h, h_I)\Phi[h_I]. \tag{51}$$

Straightforward elementary algebraic manipulations allow to determine the global one-dimensional wave function as

$$\Psi = \Psi^{I} \cosh f[h, h_{I}] - \Pi^{I}_{\Psi}(h - h_{I}) \operatorname{sgn}(h - h_{I}) \frac{\sinh f[h, h_{I}]}{f[h, h_{I}]},$$
 (52)

and similarly the canonical conjugate momentum is

$$\Pi_{\Psi} = \Pi_{\Psi}^{I} \cosh f[h, h_{I}] - \Psi^{I} m_{I}^{2} \operatorname{sgn}(h - h_{I}) \left( \int_{h_{I}}^{h} \frac{\delta h'}{\lambda'^{2}} \right) \frac{\sinh f[h, h_{I}]}{f[h, h_{I}]}, \quad (53)$$

where  $\Psi^I = \Psi[h_I]$  and  $\Pi^I_{\Psi} = \Pi_{\Psi}[h_I] = \frac{\delta \Psi}{\delta h} \Big|_{h=hI}$  are initial data. In this manner the probability density in the classical reduced model is

$$\Omega[h] = (\Psi^I)^2 \cosh^2 f[h, h_I] + (\Pi^I_{\Psi})^2 (h - h_I)^2 \left[ \frac{\sinh f[h, h_I]}{f[h, h_I]} \right]^2 - 2\Psi^I \Pi^I_{\Psi}(h - h_I) \operatorname{sgn}(h - h_I) \frac{\sinh 2f[h, h_I]}{2f[h, h_I]},$$
(54)

and  $\Psi^I$  and  $\Pi^I_\Psi$  are determined by the normalization condition

$$\int_{h_I}^{\infty} \Omega[h'] \delta h' = 1 \quad \longrightarrow \quad C(\Pi_{\Psi}^I)^2 - 2B\Psi^I \Pi_{\Psi}^I + A(\Psi^I)^2 - 1 = 0, \quad (55)$$

where the constants A, B, C are given by the integrals

$$A = \int_{h_I}^{\infty} \cosh^2 f[h', h_I] \delta h', \tag{56}$$

$$B = \int_{h_I}^{\infty} (h' - h_I) \operatorname{sgn}(h' - h_I) \frac{\sinh 2f[h', h_I]}{2f[h', h_I]} \delta h', \tag{57}$$

$$C = \int_{h_I}^{\infty} (h' - h_I)^2 \left[ \frac{\sinh f[h', h_I]}{f[h', h_I]} \right]^2 \delta h',$$
 (58)

The equation (55) can be solved straightforwardly. In result one obtains

$$\Pi_{\Psi}^{I} = \frac{B}{C} \Psi^{I} \pm \sqrt{\left[ \left( \frac{B}{C} \right)^{2} - \frac{A}{C} \right] (\Psi^{I})^{2} + \frac{1}{C}}.$$
 (59)

Using  $\Pi_{\Psi}^{I} = \frac{\delta \Psi^{I}}{\delta h_{I}}$  in (59) yields differential equation for  $\Psi^{I}$ , with the solution

$$\Psi^{I} = f_{\pm}^{(-1)} \left( \pm \frac{h_{I}}{C} + C_{1} \right), \tag{60}$$

where  $C_1$  is integration constant, and  $f_{\pm}(h_I)$  are the functions

$$f_{\pm}(h_I) = \frac{B}{AC} \left\{ \operatorname{artanh} \frac{Bh_I}{\sqrt{(B^2 - AC) h_I^2 + C}} \pm \ln \sqrt{Ah_I^2 - 1} - \frac{\sqrt{B^2 - AC}}{B} \ln \left[ \left( B^2 - AC \right) h_I + \sqrt{B^2 - AC} \sqrt{(B^2 - AC) h_I^2 + C} \right] \right\}.$$
(61)

The 3-dimensional manifolds. The model (29) can be rewritten as

$$\left(\frac{\delta^2}{\delta h^2} - \frac{2}{3h}{}^{(3)}R\right)\Psi[h] = -\frac{4}{h}\left(\varrho[h] + \frac{\Lambda}{3}\right)\Psi[h]. \tag{62}$$

and considered as the equation for the 3-dimensional scalar curvature  ${}^{(3)}R$ 

$${}^{(3)}R = -6\left(\varrho[h] + \frac{\Lambda}{3}\right) + \varphi(\Psi)h \quad , \quad \varphi(\Psi) = \frac{3}{2}\frac{1}{\Psi}\frac{\delta^2\Psi}{\delta h^2}.$$
 (63)

In the vacuum case, *i.e.* for the conditions  $(\varrho[h] \equiv 0 \cap \Lambda \equiv 0)$  or  $\varrho[h] = -\frac{\Lambda}{3}$ , one obtains from (63) that

$$^{(3)}R = \varphi_n h, \tag{64}$$

where  $\varphi_n$  in an eigenvalue determined by the equation

$$\frac{\delta^2 \Psi}{\delta h^2} - \frac{2}{3} \varphi_n \Psi = 0. \tag{65}$$

Supposing analytical form of  $\Psi$  one establishes  $\varphi_n$ 

$$\Psi = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} a_n (h - h_I)^n \longrightarrow \varphi_n = \frac{3}{2} \left( \frac{\delta^n}{\delta h^n} \left( \frac{m_I^2}{\lambda^2[h]} \Psi[h] \right) \middle/ \frac{\delta^n \Psi[h]}{\delta h^n} \right) \Big|_{h=h_I}.$$
(66)

Let us assume that there are generalized functional Fourier transforms

$$\widetilde{\Psi}[s] = \int \delta h e^{-2\pi i s h} \Psi[h] \quad , \quad \widetilde{\frac{1}{\lambda^2}}[s] = \int \delta h e^{-2\pi i s h} \frac{1}{\lambda^2[h]}, \tag{67}$$

as well as the generalized Leibniz product formula for functional derivatives

$$\frac{\delta^n}{\delta h^n} \left( \frac{1}{\lambda^2[h]} \Psi[h] \right) = \sum_{r=0}^n \binom{n}{r} \left( \frac{\delta^r}{\delta h^r} \frac{1}{\lambda^2[h]} \right) \left( \frac{\delta^{n-r}}{\delta h^{n-r}} \Psi[h] \right). \tag{68}$$

Using (67), (68) and  $\sum_{r=0}^{n} {n \choose r} x^r = (1+x)^n$ , within (66) yields

$$\varphi_n = \frac{3}{2} m_I^2 \iint \delta s' \delta s e^{2i\pi(s'+s)h_I} \left(1 + \frac{s'}{s}\right)^n \widetilde{\frac{1}{\lambda^2}} [s'] \widetilde{\Psi}[s], \tag{69}$$

so that applying the inverted Fourier transforms

$$\Psi[h] = \int \delta s e^{2\pi i s h} \widetilde{\Psi}[s] \quad , \quad \frac{1}{\lambda^2[h]} = \int \delta s e^{2\pi i s h} \widetilde{\frac{1}{\lambda^2}}[s], \tag{70}$$

within the relation (69) one receives finally

$$\varphi_{n} = \frac{3}{2} \iint \delta h \delta h \mathcal{G}(h - h_{I}) \frac{m_{I}^{2}}{\lambda^{2}[h]} \Psi[h] = \frac{3}{2} \iint \delta h \delta h \mathcal{G}(h - h_{I}) \frac{\delta^{2} \Psi[h]}{\delta h^{2}} =$$

$$= \frac{3}{2} \iint \delta h \delta h \mathcal{G}(h - h_{I}) \frac{\delta \Pi_{\Psi}[h]}{\delta h} = -\frac{3}{2} \iint \delta h \delta h \frac{\delta}{\delta h} \mathcal{G}(h - h_{I}) \Pi_{\Psi}[h], (71)$$

where we have used equations of motion, partial integration method. In (71) the kernel  $\mathcal{G}(h-h_I)$  and its derivative can be derived straightforwardly as

$$\mathcal{G}(h - h_I) = \iint \delta s' \delta s e^{-2i\pi(s' + s)(h - h_I)} \left( 1 + \frac{s'}{s} \right)^n, \tag{72}$$

$$\frac{\delta}{\delta h} \mathcal{G}(h - h_I) = -\iint \delta s' \delta s \frac{e^{-2i\pi(s'+s)(h-h_I)}}{2i\pi(s'+s)} \left(1 + \frac{s'}{s}\right)^n. \tag{73}$$

Estimation of the functional integrals (72) or (73), and using of (52) or (53), leads to (71), which is a crucial for the relation (64).

Quantum correlations. With using of the matrices (48) and (38), and the relation (47) one derives the quantum field

$$\Psi[h] = \frac{\lambda[h]}{2\sqrt{2m_I}} \left( \exp\left\{-im_I \int_{h_I}^h \frac{\delta h'}{\lambda[h']} \right\} \mathsf{G}_I + \exp\left\{im_I \int_{h_I}^h \frac{\delta h'}{\lambda[h']} \right\} \mathsf{G}_I^{\dagger} \right). (74)$$

Taking into account the n-field one-point quantum states determined as

$$|h, n\rangle \equiv \Psi^n |\text{VAC}\rangle = \left(\frac{\lambda}{2\sqrt{2m_I}} \exp\left\{im_I \int_{h_I}^h \frac{\delta h'}{\lambda'}\right\}\right)^n \mathsf{G}_I^{\dagger n} |\text{VAC}\rangle, \quad (75)$$

yields two-point correlators  $\operatorname{Cor}_{n'n}(h',h) \equiv \langle n',h'|h,n\rangle$  or explicitly

$$\operatorname{Cor}_{n'n}(h',h) = \frac{\lambda'^{n'}\lambda^{n}}{\left(\sqrt{8m_{I}}\right)^{n'+n}} \exp\left\{im_{I}\left(n'\int_{h'}^{h_{I}} + n\int_{h_{I}}^{h}\right) \frac{\delta h''}{\lambda''}\right\} \times \left\langle \operatorname{VAC} | \mathsf{G}_{I}^{n'}\mathsf{G}_{I}^{\dagger n} | \operatorname{VAC} \right\rangle, \tag{76}$$

where  $\lambda' \equiv \lambda[h']$  and so on. Basically one obtains

$$\operatorname{Cor}_{00}(h,h) = \operatorname{Cor}_{00}(h',h) = \operatorname{Cor}_{00}(h_I,h_I) = \langle \operatorname{VAC}|\operatorname{VAC}\rangle, \tag{77}$$

$$\operatorname{Cor}_{11}(h_{I}, h_{I}) = \frac{1}{8m_{I}} , \frac{\operatorname{Cor}_{n'n}(h_{I}, h_{I})}{\left[\operatorname{Cor}_{11}(h_{I}, h_{I})\right]^{(n'+n)/2}} = \langle \operatorname{VAC} | \mathsf{G}_{I}^{n'} \mathsf{G}_{I}^{\dagger n} | \operatorname{VAC} \rangle, (78)$$

so by elementary algebraic manipulations one receives

$$Cor_{11}(h',h) = \frac{\sqrt{Cor_{11}(h',h')Cor_{11}(h,h)}}{Cor_{11}(h_I,h_I)} \exp\left\{im_I \int_{h'}^{h} \frac{\delta h''}{\lambda''}\right\},\tag{79}$$

$$\frac{\operatorname{Cor}_{nn}(h',h)}{\operatorname{Cor}_{00}(h_I,h_I)} = \left[\frac{\operatorname{Cor}_{11}(h',h)}{\operatorname{Cor}_{00}(h_I,h_I)}\right]^n , \quad \frac{\operatorname{Cor}_{11}(h,h)}{\operatorname{Cor}_{00}(h_I,h_I)} = \lambda^2 \operatorname{Cor}_{11}(h_I,h_I).(80)$$

Straightforwardly from (80) one relate a size scale with quantum correlations

$$\lambda = \sqrt{\frac{\text{Cor}_{11}(h, h)}{\text{Cor}_{11}(h_I, h_I)\text{Cor}_{00}(h_I, h_I)}},$$
(81)

that consequently leads to the formulas

$$\frac{\operatorname{Cor}_{n'n}(h,h)}{\operatorname{Cor}_{n'n}(h_I,h_I)} = \lambda^{n'+n} \exp\left\{-im_I(n'-n) \int_{h_I}^h \frac{\delta h'}{\lambda'}\right\},\tag{82}$$

$$\frac{\operatorname{Cor}_{11}(h',h)}{\operatorname{Cor}_{00}(h_I,h_I)\operatorname{Cor}_{11}(h_I,h_I)} = \lambda'\lambda \exp\left\{im_I \int_{h'}^h \frac{\delta h''}{\lambda''}\right\},\tag{83}$$

$$\frac{\operatorname{Cor}_{nn}(h',h)}{\operatorname{Cor}_{00}(h_I,h_I)} = \lambda'^n \lambda^n [\operatorname{Cor}_{11}(h_I,h_I)]^n \exp\left\{i m_I n \int_{h'}^h \frac{\delta h''}{\lambda''}\right\}.$$
(84)

A whole information on the system is contained in  $\lambda$ ,  $\mu$ , and  $m_I$ . Quantum correlations are determined by these quantities only. By measurement of quantum correlations one deduces  $\lambda$ ,  $\mu$ ,  $m_I$ .

The presented conclusions have a formal character, however, they show a general feature of the proposed simple model of quantum gravity. We have solved the model by the 1D wave function (52). We have discussed the 3-dimensional manifolds (64) corresponding to this model, and we have found the relation between quantum correlations and physical scales (81).

### 6 Summary

This paper discussed some consequences of the global one-dimensionality conjecture within the Wheeler-DeWitt theory. We have applied a field theory for formulation of the simple model of quantum gravity. The model was constructed by the following steps

- 1. We have started from general relativity of compact manifold with boundaries; its action was written in 3 + 1 splitting and the scalar constraint was canonically quantized. Resulting theory was the Wheeler–DeWitt model of quantum gravity;
- 2. Next stage we have proposed the ansatz based on the global onedimensionality conjecture;
- 3. With using of the ansatz the quantum geometrodynamics was reduced to one-dimensional global evolution, with the dimension being an embedding volume form;

- 4. Employing canonical formalism, we have used a field-theoretic action corresponding to the model, and by dimensional reduction the appropriate Dirac equation was received;
- 5. Finally the Fock quantization was applied. Static reper of creators and annihilators was found by using of the diagonalization procedure employing the Bogoliubov transformation and the Heisenberg equations of motion. Consequently, the proposed model is defining quantum gravity as a quantum field theory. The quantum field was derived in a straightforward way (47).

In result, we have obtained correctly defined integrability problem, which allowed to study its formal consequences. Particularly, we have discussed

- 1. The integrability problem and global one-dimensional wave function. It was shown that by integration of the model in the Schrödinger equation form there is a possibility to obtain an exact solutions of the model.
- 2. 3-dimensional manifolds corresponding to the model. It was shown that the model is defining a 3-dimensional manifolds  ${}^{(3)}R = \phi_n h$ , where for given wave function the parameter  $\phi_n$  can be derived by the assumption of the appropriate Fourier transforms and its inverted transforms.
- 3. Relation between quantum correlations and physical scales. We have connected one-point quantum correlations with size and mass scales.

The presented conclusions are partial, but they show possible physical and geometric implications following from the simple quantum gravity model. From a mathematical point of view we have applied a strategy of one-dimensional integration, with the Riemann–Lebesgue measure for fixed space configuration or the Stieltjes measure in general case. Both physical and mathematical sides of the model were emphasized in this paper.

## Acknowledgements

Special thanks are directed to Prof. I. L. Buchbinder for very constructive discussion and his helpful comments to primary notes of the author. The author benefitted also many valuable discussions from Profs. A. B. Arbuzov, I. Ya. Aref'eva, B. M. Barbashov, K. A. Bronnikov, and V. N. Pervushin.

#### References

- [1] I. L. Buchbinder, S. D. Odintsov, and I. L. Shapiro, Effective Action in Quantum Gravity. Institute of Physics Publishing (1992);
  - D. J. Gross, T. Piran, and S. Weinberg (eds.), Two Dimensional Quantum Gravity and Random Surfaces. World Scientific (1992);
  - G. W. Gibbons and S. W. Hawking (eds.), Euclidean Quantum Gravity. World Scientific (1993);
  - G. Esposito, Quantum Gravity, Quantum Cosmology and Lorentzian Geometries. Springer (1994);
  - J. Ehlers and H. Friedrich (eds.), Canonical Gravity: From Classical to Quantum. Springer (1994);
  - E. Prugovečki, Principles of Quantum General Relativity. World Scientific (1995);
  - R. Gambini and J. Pullin, Loops, Knots, Gauge Theories and Quantum Gravity. Cambridge University Press (1996);
  - G. Esposito, A. Yu. Kamenshchik, and G. Pollifrone, Euclidean Quantum Gravity on Manifolds with Boundary. Springer (1997);
  - P. Fré, V. Gorini, G. Magli, and U. Moschella, Classical and Quantum Black Holes. Institute of Physics Publishing (1999);
  - I. G. Avramidi, Heat Kernel and Quantum Gravity. Springer (2000);
  - B. N. Kursunoglu, S. L. Mintz, and A. Perlmutter (eds.), Quantum Gravity, Generalized Theory of Gravitation and Superstring Theory-Based Unification. Kluwer (2002);
  - S. Carlip, Quantum Gravity in 2+1 Dimensions. Cambridge University Press (2003);
  - D. Giulini, C. Kiefer and C. Lämmerzahl (eds.), Quantum Gravity. From Theory To Experimental Search. Springer (2003);
  - C. Rovelli, Quantum Gravity. Cambridge University Press (2004);
  - G. Amelino-Camelia and J. Kowalski-Glikman (eds.), Planck Scale Effects in Astrophysics and Cosmology. Springer (2005);
  - A. Gomberoff and D. Marolf (eds.), Lectures on Quantum Gravity. Springer (2005);
  - D. Rickles, S. French, and J. Saatsi (eds.), The Structural Foundations of Quantum Gravity. Clarendon Press (2006);
  - D. Gross, M. Henneaux, and A. Sevrin (eds.), The Quantum Structure of Space and Time. World Scientific (2007);
  - C. Kiefer, Quantum Gravity. 2nd ed., Oxford University Press (2007);
  - T. Thiemann, Modern Canonical Quantum General Relativity. Cambridge University Press (2007);
  - D. Oriti, Approaches to Quantum Gravity. Toward a New Understand-

- ing of Space, Time, and Matter. Cambridge University Press (2009).
- [2] L. A. Glinka, AIP Conf. Proc. 1018, 94, (2008). arXiv:0801.4157 [gr-qc];
   SIGMA 3, 087, (2007). arXiv:0707.3341 [gr-qc]; arXiv:gr-qc/0612079
- [3] J. B. Hartle and S. W. Hawking, Phys. Rev. D 28, 2960 (1983).
- [4] C. W. Misner, K. S. Thorne, and J. A. Wheeler, Gravitation. Freeman (1973); R. M. Wald, General Relativity. University of Chicago (1984); S. Carroll, Spacetime and Geometry. An introduction to General Relativity. Addison-Wesley (2004).
- [5] J. W. York, Phys. Rev. Lett. 28, 1082 (1972); G. W. Gibbons and S. W. Hawking, Phys. Rev. D 15, 2752 (1977).
- [6] J. F. Nash, Ann. Math. 56, 405 (1952); ibid. 63, 20 (1956); S. Masahiro, Nash Manifolds. Springer (1987); M. Günther, Ann. Global Anal. Geom. 7, 69 (1989); Math. Nachr. 144, 165 (1989).
- [7] R. Arnowitt, S. Deser and C. W. Misner, in Gravitation: An Introduction to Current Research, ed. by L. Witten, p. 227, Wiley (1962);
  B. DeWitt, The Global Approach to Quantum Field Theory, Vol. 1 & 2, Clarendon Press (2003).
- [8] A. Hanson, T. Regge, and C. Teitelboim, Constrained Hamiltonian Systems. Accademia Nazionale dei Lincei (1976).
- [9] P. A. M. Dirac, Lectures on Quantum Mechanics. Belfer Graduate School of Science, Yeshiva University (1964).
- [10] B. S. DeWitt, Phys. Rev. **160**, 1113 (1967).
- [11] L. D. Faddeev, Usp. Fiz. Nauk **136**, 435 (1982).
- [12] J. A. Wheeler, Geometrodynamics. Academic Press (1962); Einsteins Vision. Springer (1968).
- [13] A. E. Fischer, Gen. Rel. Grav. 15, 1191 (1983); J. Math. Phys. 27, 718 (1986).
- [14] V. V. Fernández, A. M. Moya, and W. A. Rodrigues Jr, Adv. Appl. Clifford Alg. 11, 1 (2001).
- [15] N. N. Bogoliubov and D. V. Shirkov, Introduction to the theory of quantized fields. 3rd ed., John Wiley and Sons (1980).